Metallization of atomic solid hydrogen within the extended Hubbard model with renormalized Wannier wave functions

Andrzej P. Kądzielawa*, Józef Spałek

Department of Condensed Matter Theory and Nanophysics Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University

*kadzielawa@th.if.uj.edu.pl

October 12, 2013



APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ)

XVI National Conference on Superconductivity October 12, 2013

Outline

Objective

Methods

Hamiltonian Single-particle basis optimization Statistically-consistent Gutzwiller Approximation (SGA)

3 Results

Metal-insulator transition Quantum critical behavior Metallization pressure

通り イヨト イヨト

- 20

Objective

To apply SGA method for wave function quantum scaling problem near Mott-Hubbard transition.



J. Spałek, J. Kurzyk, R. Podsiadły,
W. Wójcik, Eur. Phys. J. B **74**, 63-74 (2010)

Also

- to include the effect of external magnetic field on metal-insulator transition
- to calculate metallization pressure for atomic hydrogen

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Hamiltonian

We start from the extended Hubbard model:

$$\mathcal{H}_{EH} = \epsilon_{a} \sum_{i} n_{i} + \sum_{i \neq j,\sigma} t_{ij} a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \sum_{i < j} K_{ij} n_{i} n_{j} + \sum_{i < j} V_{ion-ion} (\mathbf{R}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{j}) - \sum_{i,\sigma} \sigma h n_{i\sigma},$$
(1)

where ϵ_a is the atomic energy per site, t_{ij} the hopping integral, U the intraatomic interaction, and K_{ij} the interatomic interaction. $V_{ion-ion} (\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j) = \frac{2}{|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j|}$ is classical Coulomb repulsion and $h = \frac{1}{2}g\mu_B H_a$ the reduced magnetic field.

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ)

- (個人) くほん くほん 二日

Hamiltonian

Hamiltonian

We rearrange the Hamiltonian in a way

$$\mathcal{H}_{EH} = \epsilon_{a}^{eff} \sum_{i} n_{i} + \sum_{i \neq j,\sigma} t_{ij} a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} K_{ij} \delta n_{i} \delta n_{j} - \sum_{i,\sigma} \sigma h n_{i\sigma}, \qquad (2)$$

with effective atomic energy per site

$$\epsilon_{a}^{eff} = \epsilon_{a} + \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(K_{ij} + \frac{2}{|R_{j} - R_{i}|} \right), \tag{3}$$

イロト 不得 とくき とくき とうき

and $\delta n = 1 - n$.

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ) XVI National Conference on Superconductivity October 12, 2013 5 / 15

Microscopic parameters

Values

Microscopic parameters ϵ_a , t_{ij} , U, and K_{ij} are expressed by integrals

$$t_{ij} = \langle w_i | \mathcal{H}_1 | w_j \rangle,$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{ij} = \left\langle w_i w_j \middle| \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \middle| w_i w_j \right\rangle,$$

$$U = \mathcal{K}_{ii} = \left\langle w_i w_i \middle| \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \middle| w_i w_i \right\rangle,$$

$$\epsilon_a = \langle w_i | \mathcal{H}_1 | w_i \rangle.$$
(4)

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ)

A B F A B F

- 31

Base functions

Index *i* denotes a periodic function: $f_i(\mathbf{r}) \equiv f(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i)$

$$w_{i}(\mathbf{r}) = \beta \Psi_{i}(\mathbf{r}) - \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{z} \Psi_{j}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (5)$$

$$\Psi_{i}(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{3}}{\pi}} e^{-\alpha |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{i}|}$$

$$\approx \alpha^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{a=1}^{p} B_{a} \left(\frac{2\Gamma_{a}^{2}}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\Gamma_{a}^{2} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{i}|^{2}}.$$

$$(6)$$

constant	overlap	minimization $\mathcal{H}_1 \stackrel{a.u.}{=}$	minimization
	dependent	$ - \bigtriangledown^2 - 2 \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i ^{-1}$	of E _G
<i>z</i> , <i>p</i>	eta,γ	B_a, Γ_a	α

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ)

▲□▶ ▲掃▶ ★臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = の久()

SGA:

Ground-state energy

$$\frac{E_{G}}{\Lambda} = \epsilon_{a}^{eff} + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left(\sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} \left\langle a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j\sigma} \right\rangle + \sum_{i} U \left\langle a_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{i\uparrow} a_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} a_{i\downarrow} \right\rangle \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\Downarrow \text{ minimization}$$

$$\mathcal{K} = \epsilon_{a}^{eff} \sum_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma} + \sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} q_{\sigma} a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j\sigma} + \Lambda U d^{2} - \mu \sum_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma}$$

$$- \lambda_{m} \sum_{i} (m_{i} - m) - \lambda_{n} \sum_{i} (n_{i} - n)$$
(8)

n	m	d ²	λn	λ_m	μ
band		no. of double	molecular field	molecular field	chemical
filling	magnetization	occupancies	coupled with n	coupled with m	potential

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- 2

Qualitive behavior of free energy around Mott-Hubbard Transition



Nature of transition



Figure: G: Ground-state energy of metallic (blue) and insulating (red) state. D: Double occupancies per site for our model vs. last publication.

1st order

Discontinuity of first derivative of energy (upper plot) \rightarrow metal-insulator transition of weakly first order.

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ)

Zero-point motion for ion lattice

The uncertainties of momentum δP and distance between ions δR :

$$\Delta E = \frac{\left(\delta P\right)^2}{2M} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{R + \delta R^i} + \frac{1}{R - \delta R^i} \right) \tag{9}$$

using Heisenberg Principle $(\delta \mathbf{P})(\delta \mathbf{R}) \ge \frac{3}{4}$ and minimizing with respect to $\delta \mathbf{R}$, we obtain results (at MIT):

	axis–aligned	plane–aligned	diagonal
$ \delta \mathbf{R} $ (a ₀)	0.3432	0.3438	0.3440
$\Delta E - \frac{3}{R} (Ry)$	0.003455	0.003449	0.003447

Remark 1 Remark 2 All the calculations are done in atomic units. This is only estimation of the magnitude of ZPM.

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ)

Zero-point motion



Figure: The relative magnitude of the zero-point motion energy with respect to ground state vs. lattice parameter R.

Inset: The explicit value of the energy of zero-point motion vs. lattice parameter R.

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ)

Results

Quantum critical behavior



Figure:

left: reverse wave function size α (**top**), and magnetic susceptibility (**bottom**) vs. lattice parameter R, **right:** scaling of reverse wave function size α (**top**) and energy E (**bottom**) near critical point ($\sim A((R_C - R)/R_C)^{\gamma}$).

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ) XVI National Conference on Superconductivity October 12, 2013 13 / 15



Figure: top: Ground energy vs. lattice parameter *R*, bottom: crystal stabilizing pressure vs. lattice parameter *R* numerical (orange) and naive fit (black).

Pressure stabilizing hydrogen crystal

 $p_{C} = 97.7 \, GPa$

APK, J. Spałek, K. Kurzyk,W. Wójcik, Eur. Phys. J. B 86, 252 (2013)

- 同・ - ヨト - ヨ

Thank you!



APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ) XVI National Conference on Superconductivity October 12, 2013 15 / 15

Suplement

Microscopic parameters



Figure: **TL**: microscopic parameters vs. lattice parameter R, **TR**: effective mass enhancement vs. external magnetic field H_a , **BL**: effective magnetic field λ_m vs. lattice parameter R, **BR**: magnetization m vs. external magnetic field H_a

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ) XVI National Conference on Superconductivity October 12, 2013

16 / 15

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

Table: Values calculated using SGA method as a function of lattice parameter for SC. Units, if not written explicitly, are set to be *rydbergs* (*Ry*). $\chi(0)$ for $R \ge R_c$ is infinite.

$R(a_0)$	E_G^{SGA}	E_G^{GA}	t	U	$\alpha^{-1}(a_0)$	d ²	λ_m	$\chi(Ry^{-1})$	q^{-1}
3.25	-0.8640	-0.8644	-0.2409	1.4996	0.9474	0.152774	0.015884	0.1809	1.17728
3.50	-0.8814	-0.8816	-0.1773	1.4749	0.9220	0.120128	0.012641	2.0598	1.36818
4.00	-0.9136	-0.9136	-0.1098	1.4152	0.9200	0.048886	0.006084	22.6577	2.82781
4.05	-0.9171	-0.9171	-0.1046	1.4139	0.9175	0.038973	0.004256	47.3562	3.47235
4.09	-0.9200		-0.1005	1.4140	0.9147	0.030193	0.027281	253.7567	4.40375
4.10	-0.9209	-0.9207	-0.0995	1.4143	0.9138	0.000000	0.000000	∞	∞
4.20	-0.9315	-0.9288	-0.0896	1.4217	0.9021	0.000000	0.000000		
4.50	-0.9544	-0.9517	-0.0705	1.3742	0.9263	0.000000	0.000000		
5.00	-0.9760	-0.9732	-0.0471	1.3200	0.9556	0.000000	0.000000		
7.00	-0.9939		-0.0082	1.2504	0.9972	0.000000	0.000000		
∞	-1.0000	-1.0000	0.0000	1.2500	1.0000	0.000000	0.000000		

(ロ) (型) (目) (目) (日) (の)

Minimization of free energy functional \mathcal{F} :

$$\mathcal{F}^{(SGA)} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \log\left(1 + e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{(SGA)}}\right) + \Lambda\left(\lambda_n n + \lambda_m m + U d^2 + \mu n\right),$$
(10)

where the test eigenvalues $E_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{(SGA)}$

$$E_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{(SGA)} \equiv q_{\sigma}\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \sigma \left(h + \lambda_{m}\right) - \left(\mu + \lambda_{n}\right),$$

$$q_{\sigma} = \frac{\left(\sqrt{\left(n_{\sigma} - d^{2}\right)\left(1 - n_{\sigma} - n_{\overline{\sigma}} + d^{2}\right)} + d\sqrt{n_{\overline{\sigma}} - d^{2}}\right)^{2}}{n_{\sigma}\left(1 - n_{\sigma}\right)}$$
(11)

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ)

XVI National Conference on Superconductivity October 12, 2013 18 / 15

▲□▶ ▲掃▶ ★臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = の久()

"./wannier.dat" u 1:2:3



Figure: Overall space profiles of the renormalized Wannier function for sc lattice as a function of lattice parameter R and along [100] direction r.

APK, JS (ZTMSiN IF UJ) XVI National Conference on Superconductivity October 12, 2013 19 / 15

→ 3 → 4 3